

From: Robert Cameron [<mailto:rcameron@putnameng.com>]
Sent: Tuesday, January 14, 2014 2:05 PM
Subject: Butterfield Development

Dear Members of the Village Board and Planning Board,

I have read through the EAF posted on the Village Website 1/13/14. I wish to express concerns in the following section:

Appendix 'A', Local Law, 5.D (Page 5). This section indicates that building frontage on a state highway (9D) can be '0' feet, side yards can be 10 feet, rear yards 10 feet and building heights 35 feet.

This could potentially permit 35 foot height buildings a '0' feet to Route 9D. This was a major concern at prior Planning Board meetings attended by myself and Mr. Ted Fink of Greenplan. These type setbacks are not appropriate for the character of Route 9D.

The variation allowed in Appendix 'A', Local Law, 2.4 C (Page 3), should exclude required setbacks.

While the concept plan is not shown to build out this way, there is no guarantee change cannot be proposed now or in the future if the law so permits this. If the developer truly desires to build the proposed concept plan, I urge the proposed local law be changed to reflect the setbacks and heights of the concept plan. The setbacks on 9D and the residential neighbors should at least have a "sky plane exposure", which is a plane showing setback heights to the distance from the roadway or property line.

Thank you for considering my concerns for the Village.

Robert J Cameron Jr, R.A.
Putnam Engineering P.L.L.C.
4 Old Route 6
Brewster, NY 10509
ph (845)-279-6789
fax (845)-279-6769
rcameron@putnameng.com